

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	09/931,291	KAASHOEK ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	Eleni A. Shiferaw	2136	

**All Participants:**
**Status of Application:** \_\_\_\_\_

 (1) Eleni A. Shiferaw.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

 (2) Denis G. Maloney.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 1 June 2007
**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1, 9, 18, 21 and 22


Prior art documents discussed:

**Part II.**
**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*request to file a terminal disclaimer for double patenting rejection, and approval for examiner's amendment for 112, 101 issues and amendments to particularly pointout the invention.*

**Part III.**

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)